

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/540,896	(03/31/2000	Shunpei Yamazaki	0756-2135	2024
31780	7590	02/08/2005		EXAMINER	
ERIC ROP	BINSON		CHANG, KENT WU		
PMB 955 21010 SOU	THBANK	ST.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
POTOMAC	FALLS,	VA 20165	2675		
				DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/540,896	YAMAZAKI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Kent Chang	2673					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sh	et with the correspondence address	}				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, n. a reply within the statutory minimum eriod will apply and will expire SIX (i statute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. NONTHS from the mailing date of this community me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).	ication.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	10 January 2005.						
		This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-34</u> is/are with Claim(s) <u>is/are</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 35-50</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>is/are objected to.</u> Claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and applications.</u>	drawn from consideratior						
Applicat	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	miner.						
10)[10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by th							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	` '							
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date) Pape 3/08) 5) ☐ Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ::					

Art Unit: 2673

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/10/05 has been entered.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-11 and 35-50 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 5,414,442 in view of Matsueda (JP 1-156725). Matsueda (JP 1-156725)

Art Unit: 2673

discloses a switching element using for driving an LCD comprising a crystalline semiconductor film having silicon over a substrate with an insulating surface and a gate electrode adjacent the crystalline semiconductor film with a gate insulating film (element 44, see text under Example 1). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a switching element using for driving an LCD comprising a crystalline semiconductor film having silicon over a substrate with an insulating surface and a gate electrode adjacent the crystalline semiconductor film with a gate insulating film as taught by Matsueda in the device as recited in claims 1-11 and 35-50 of the current application so as to obtain high speed of switching operation and excellent image quality as suggested by masueda.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 1/10/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant indicated that a Terminal Disclaimer will be filed and requested that the withdrawal of the obviousness-type double patenting rejection. In response, it is indicated that the obviousness-type double patenting rejection will be withdrawn upon filing of such a Terminal Disclaimer in a timely manner.

Applicant's argument to the 103 obviousness-type rejections to claims 6-11 are most since the above rejections are withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kent Chang whose telephone number is 703-305-4824. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala, can be reached at 703-305-4938.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

703-872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2673

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kent Cas Kent Chang Primary Examiner Art Unit 2673

kc

1/6/05